ssm

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

FIRST APPEAL NO. 239 OF 1999
WITH
FIRST APPEAL NO. 290 OF 2000
WITH
FIRST APPEAL NO. 305 OF 2000

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearances, Court's Orders or directions and Registrar's Orders	Court's or Judge's Orders

Mr.A.P.Kulkarni i/by Mr. A.A.Kumbhakoni for the appellants.

Mr. M.D.Parab, Advocate for respondent No.1 to 3.

CORAM : ANOOP V. MOHTA,J.

DATED : 13th September, 2007.

P.C.

Not on board. Taken on board.

1. The parties have arrived at to settle the dispute that resulted into the consent terms dated 13/09/2007. The same has been signed by the respective parties and their advocates. There is no objection of any kind to the identification, signature

and authorization.

2. The consent terms marked "X", taken on record.

3. In view of paragraph 11, the appellants are not pressing the appeal against respondent Nos. 4, 5 and 6 in First Appeal No. 239 of 1999, respondent Nos. 3 and 4 in First Appeal No. 305 of 2000 and respondent No. 2 in First Appeal No. 290 of 2000. The learned counsel on instructions, on behalf of contesting respondents has no objection to the same. However, it is made clear that the order on this consent terms, is subject to liberty in so far as the respondents or parties against whom the appellants are not pressing the present appeal.

4. Appellant No.1, 2 and

respondents are present in the court. Both the advocates make a statement that the consent terms have been explained to the parties and they understood the same.

5. In view of this, the first appeals are disposed of in view of this consent terms, accordingly.

5. In view of this, the Civil Application No. 1954 of 2006 is disposed of as not pressed.

6. In view of the compromise, the Civil Application No. 1497 of 2006 is also disposed of as not pressed.

[ANOOP V. MOHTA, J.]